UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,815	04/26/2005	Yuichi Kubo	740107-185	8898
ROBERTS MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C. Intellectual Property Department			EXAMINER	
			MCCLELLAND, KIMBERLY KEIL	
P.O. Box 10064 MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/02/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com bdiaz@rmsclaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/532,815	KUBO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	KIMBERLY K. MCCLELLAND	1791
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING C  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 L</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 6 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents.</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/24/08, 01/15/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 1791

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/22/08 has been entered.

### Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: The first period in line 6 appears to be a typo. Claims may only contain one sentence. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said chuck stage" in lines 5-7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Dependent claims fall herewith.
- 5. With respect to claims 5-8, it is unclear where the expansion and expansion maintaining steps are performed. Independent claim 1 requires the expanding step to

Art Unit: 1791

be performed in a wafer spreader. Claims 5-8 require expanding to be performed in the dicing device. Clarification is required.

6. As to claims 18-20, it is unclear how the plate-like article is conveyed together with the frame with increased spacings between the chips being maintained as required in independent claim 1 if the adhesive sheet is cut as required by dependent claims 18-20. See Figures 38-39 of the current application. Clarification is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,344,402 to Sekiya.
- 9. With respect to claim 1, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece, including a conveying step of conveying said plate-like article (W) together with said chuck stage (21) of said dicing device to a different area in said dicing device without being detached from said chuck stage after the dicing of said plate-like article; an expanding step of expanding said adhesive sheet with said plate-like article being mounted to said frame (F) in a wafer spreader of an expansion station; and an expansion maintaining step of maintaining an expanded state of said adhesive sheet with said plate-like article being mounted to said frame after said expanding step,

Art Unit: 1791

wherein at least said expansion maintaining step is performed in said different area, and conveying said plate-like article from the wafer spreader of said expansion station together with said frame with the increased spacings between said chips being maintained (column 3, line 55-column 4, lines 43; See Figures 8-9).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,344,402 to Sekiya as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,766,638 to Moore ('638).
- 12. With respect to claim 2, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose the expanding step includes a step of heating and stretching said adhesive sheet.
- 13. As to claim 2, Moore ('638) discloses the expanding step includes a step of heating and stretching said adhesive sheet (column 3, lines 23-25 and lines 45-47). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the heating step of Moore ('638) with the stretching step of Sekiya.

The motivation would have been to put a permanent stretch into the adhesive tape, preserving interval spacing (column 3, lines 45-47)

- 14. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,344,402 to Sekiya as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,186,775 to Cullen et al.
- 15. With respect to claim 3, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose the expansion maintaining step includes a step of welding or bonding a base of said protrusion of said adhesive sheet.
- 16. Cullen et al. discloses a container fabrication method, including expansion maintaining step includes a step of welding or bonding a base of said protrusion of said adhesive sheet (column 3, lines 15-22; See Figures 8-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the welding step taught by Cullen et al. with the expansion maintaining step disclosed by Sekiya. The motivation would have been to secure the edges of the adhesive film improving stabilization of the expanded sheet through immobilization.
- 17. As to claim 4, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose the base of said protrusion formed in said adhesive sheet is ultrasonically welded.
- 18. Cullen et al. discloses a container fabrication method, including base of said protrusion formed in said adhesive sheet is ultrasonically welded (column 3, lines 15-22;

Page 6

Patent No. 4,209,958 to Bailey.

- 20. With respect to claim 5, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece including expanding step is performed with said plate-like article being placed on said chuck stage of said dicing device, and includes a step of temporarily maintaining the expanded state of said adhesive sheet on said chuck stage using a clamping member (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not specifically disclose the expansion maintaining step includes a step of forming a loose part outside said clamping member of said adhesive sheet to nip and secure a base of said loose part of said adhesive sheet.
- 21. Bailey discloses an article strapping method, including forming a loose part (64/66) outside said clamping member (48/52/55) of said sheet to nip and secure a base of said loose part of said sheet (See Figures 6-9). The combination of the prior art elements of clamping to form excess film used along with bonding the excess film would have yielded the predictable result of reducing the bulk of the excess film material. The

Art Unit: 1791

claimed subject matter merely combines familiar elements (clamping and bonding excess film) according to known methods and does no more than yield predictable results. If a technique has been used to improve one device (e.g. packaging device), and a person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that it would improve similar devices in the same way (film stretching), using the technique is obvious unless its actual application is beyond his or her skill.

- 22. As to claim 6, Sekiya discloses the expanding step is performed in a dicing area of said dicing device after the dicing of said plate-like article, and said plate-like article with the expanded state of said adhesive sheet being temporarily maintained is conveyed to a different area in said dicing device together with said chuck stage, and said expansion maintaining step is performed in said different area (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43).
- 23. As to claim 7, Sekiya discloses expanding step and said expansion maintaining step are performed in said different area in said dicing device column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43).
- 24. As to claim 8, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose the expansion maintaining step includes a step of securing said base of said loose part of said adhesive sheet by welding or bonding.
- 25. Bailey discloses an article strapping method, including the expansion maintaining step includes a step of securing said base of said loose part (64/66) of said sheet by welding or bonding (See Figures 6-9). The combination of the prior art elements of

actual application is beyond his or her skill.

Art Unit: 1791

clamping to form excess film used along with bonding the excess film would have yielded the predictable result of reducing the bulk of the excess film material. The claimed subject matter merely combines familiar elements (clamping and bonding excess film) according to known methods and does no more than yield predictable results. If a technique has been used to improve one device (e.g. packaging device), and a person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that it would improve similar devices in the same way (film stretching), using the technique is obvious unless its

- 26. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,344,402 to Sekiya as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,176,966 to Tsujimoto et al.
- 27. With respect to claim 9, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose a heat-shrinkable sheet is used as said adhesive sheet, and said expanding step and said expansion maintaining step are simultaneously performed by heating said adhesive sheet in at least a pair of areas sandwiching said plate-like article in parallel with a dicing line of said plate-like article in the portion of said adhesive sheet between said plate-like article and said frame.
- 28. Tsujimoto et al. discloses a die bonding method, including a heat-shrinkable sheet is used as said adhesive sheet, and said expanding step and said expansion maintaining step are simultaneously performed by heating said adhesive sheet in at

Art Unit: 1791

least a pair of areas (3; See Figure 1) sandwiching said plate-like article in parallel with a dicing line of said plate-like article in the portion of said adhesive sheet between said plate-like article and said frame (column 2, lines 26-33). It is known in the art that stretching/tensioning a web may be performed by decreasing the amount of material over a given length, increasing the length of the web, or a combination of each to yield the predictable result of an expanded web. The substitution of one tensioning method (the heat-shrink film taught by Tsujimoto et al.) for another (the upward force expansion disclosed in Sekiya) would achieve the predictable result of forming spaces between individual chips.

- 29. As to claim 10, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose the adhesive sheet is heated in at least a pair of areas sandwiching said plate-like article in parallel with a dicing line in one direction of said plate-like article, and in at least a pair of areas sandwiching said plate-like article in parallel with a dicing line perpendicular to the dicing line in said one direction, and heating temperatures of said areas are individually controlled according to the state of increase in the spacings between said individual chips.
- 30. Tsujimoto et al. discloses a die bonding method, including the adhesive sheet is heated in at least a pair of areas (3; See Figure 1) sandwiching said plate-like article in parallel with a dicing line in one direction of said plate-like article, and in at least a pair of areas sandwiching said plate-like article in parallel with a dicing line perpendicular to the dicing line in said one direction, and heating temperatures of said areas are individually controlled according to the state of increase in the spacings between said

Art Unit: 1791

individual chips (column 2, lines 26-33; column 6, lines 23-25). It is known in the art that stretching/tensioning a web may be performed by decreasing the amount of material over a given length, increasing the length of the web, or a combination of each to yield the predictable result of an expanded web. The substitution of one tensioning method (the heat-shrink film taught by Tsujimoto et al.) for another (the upward force expansion disclosed in Sekiya) would achieve the predictable result of forming spaces between individual chips.

- 31. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,344,402 to Sekiya in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,176,966 to Tsujimoto et al. as applied to claims 9-10 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,688,540 to Ono.
- 32. With respect to claim 11, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose after the dicing of said plate-like article, said adhesive sheet is heated without said plate-like article being detached from said chuck stage of said dicing device.
- 33. Tsujimoto et al. discloses a die bonding method, including a heat-shrinkable sheet is used as said adhesive sheet, and said expanding step and said expansion maintaining step are simultaneously performed by heating said adhesive sheet in at least a pair of areas (3; See Figure 1) sandwiching said plate-like article in parallel with a dicing line of said plate-like article in the portion of said adhesive sheet between said plate-like article and said frame (column 2, lines 26-33). It is known in the art that

Art Unit: 1791

stretching/tensioning a web may be performed by decreasing the amount of material over a given length, increasing the length of the web, or a combination of each to yield the predictable result of an expanded web. The substitution of one tensioning method (the heat-shrink film taught by Tsujimoto et al.) for another (the upward force expansion disclosed in Sekiya) would achieve the predictable result of forming spaces between individual chips.

- 34. One discloses a dicing method, including after the dicing of said plate-like article, said adhesive sheet is transported without said plate-like article being detached from said chuck stage of said dicing device (See Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the step of conveying the attached wafer taught by One with the conveying step of Sekiya. The motivation would have been to allow the wafer and chips to be transported with greater efficiency and minimal disturbance.
- 35. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,344,402 to Sekiya as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,790,051 to Moore ('051) and U.S. Patent No. 6,176,966 to Tsujimoto et al.
- 36. With respect to claim 12, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose a heat-shrinkable sheet is used as said adhesive sheet, and said expansion maintaining step includes a step of forming a loose part in a portion of said adhesive sheet between said

Art Unit: 1791

plate-like article and said frame, and heating and shrinking said loose part to eliminate said loose part.

- 37. Moore ('051) discloses a wafer fracturing technique, including the expansion maintaining step includes a step of forming a loose part in a portion of said adhesive sheet between said plate-like article and said frame (201; See Figure 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a loose part as taught by Moore ('051) in the adhesive sheet of Moore ('638). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the vacuum maintaining step taught by Moore ('051) with the expansion maintaining step of Sekiya The motivation would have been to tightly form the adhesive sheet onto the surrounding frame, even after the tensioning force is eliminated.
- 38. Tsujimoto et al. discloses a die bonding method, including a heat-shrinkable sheet is used as said adhesive sheet (3; See Figure 1) and heating and the excess film to eliminate the excess film (column 2, lines 26-33). It is known in the art that stretching/tensioning a web may be performed by decreasing the amount of material over a given length, increasing the length of the web, or a combination of both to yield the predictable result of an expanded web. The substitution of one tensioning method (the heat-shrink film taught by Tsujimoto et al.) for another (the upward force expansion disclosed in Sekiya) would achieve the predictable result of forming spaces between individual chips.

Art Unit: 1791

39. As to claim 13, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose the loose part is formed after the expanded state of said adhesive sheet in the portion on which said expanded plate-like article is stuck is maintained by suction or mechanically, and said maintenance by suction or mechanical maintenance is released after said loose part is heated and shrunk.

- 40. Moore ('051) discloses a wafer fracturing technique, including the loose part is formed after the expanded state of said adhesive sheet in the portion on which said expanded plate-like article is stuck is maintained by suction or mechanically, and said maintenance by suction or mechanical maintenance is released (201; See Figure 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a loose part as taught by Moore ('051) in the adhesive sheet of Sekiya. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the vacuum maintaining step taught by Moore ('051) with the expansion maintaining step of Sekiya. The motivation would have been to tightly form the adhesive sheet onto the surrounding frame, even after the tensioning force is eliminated.
- 41. Tsujimoto et al. discloses a die bonding method, including a heat-shrinkable sheet is used as said adhesive sheet (3; See Figure 1) and heating and the excess film to eliminate the excess film (column 2, lines 26-33). It is known in the art that stretching/tensioning a web may be performed by decreasing the amount of material over a given length, increasing the length of the web, or a combination of both to yield

Art Unit: 1791

the predictable result of an expanded web. The substitution of one tensioning method (the heat-shrink film taught by Tsujimoto et al.) for another (the upward force expansion disclosed in Sekiya) would achieve the predictable result of forming spaces between individual chips.

- 42. As to claim 14, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose the relative separation between said plate-like article and said frame is terminated to form said loose part.
- 43. Moore ('051) discloses a wafer fracturing technique, including the loose part is formed when relative separation between said plate-like article and said frame is terminated (201; See Figure 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a loose part as taught by Moore ('051) in the adhesive sheet of Sekiya. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the vacuum maintaining step taught by Moore ('051) with the expansion maintaining step of Sekiya. The motivation would have been to tightly form the adhesive sheet onto the surrounding frame, even after the tensioning force is eliminated.
- 44. As to claim 15, Sekiya discloses said adhesive sheet is pressed between said plate-like article and said frame to expand said adhesive sheet (See Figure 8). However, Sekiya does not disclose the press of said adhesive sheet between said plate-like article and said frame is released to form said loose part.
- 45. Moore ('051) discloses a wafer fracturing technique, including the press of said adhesive sheet between said plate-like article and said frame is released to form said

Art Unit: 1791

loose part (201; See Figure 8). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a loose part as taught by Moore ('051) in the adhesive sheet of Sekiya. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the vacuum maintaining step taught by Moore ('051) with the expansion maintaining step of Sekiya. The motivation would have been to tightly form the adhesive sheet onto the surrounding frame, even after the tensioning force is eliminated.

- 46. As to claim 16, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose portion of said adhesive sheet outside said plate-like article is heated in a ring shape to shrink said loose part.
- 47. Tsujimoto et al. discloses a die bonding method, including a portion of said adhesive sheet outside said plate-like article is heated in a ring shape to shrink said loose part (3; See Figure 1). It is known in the art that stretching/tensioning a web may be performed by decreasing the amount of material over a given length, increasing the length of the web, or a combination of both to yield the predictable result of an expanded web. The substitution of one tensioning method (the heat-shrink film taught by Tsujimoto et al.) for another (the upward force expansion disclosed in Sekiya) would achieve the predictable result of forming spaces between individual chips.
- 48. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,344,402 to Sekiya in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,790,051 to Moore ('051)

Art Unit: 1791

and U.S. Patent No. 6,176,966 to Tsujimoto et al. as applied to claims 12-16 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,688,540 to Ono.

- As to claim 17, Sekiya discloses the adhesive sheet is expanded (column 3, line 49. 55-column 4, lines 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose after the dicing of said plate-like article, said adhesive sheet is expanded without said plate-like article being detached from said chuck stage of said dicing device.
- 50. One discloses a dicing method, including after the dicing of said plate-like article, said adhesive sheet is transported without said plate-like article being detached from said chuck stage of said dicing device (See Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the step of conveying the attached wafer taught by Ono with the conveying step of Sekiya. The motivation would have been to allow the wafer and chips to be transported with greater efficiency and minimal disturbance.
- 51. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,344,402 to Sekiya as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,383,606 to Broyles and U.S. Patent No. 6,176,966 to Tsujimoto et al.
- 52. With respect to claim 18, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece including expanding step includes a step of relatively vertically separating said plate-like article and said frame and expansion maintaining step includes a step of sticking a different ring-shaped frame to said expanded adhesive sheet (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not specifically disclose applying a lateral force to

Art Unit: 1791

said adhesive sheet, or cutting said adhesive sheet near an outer periphery of said different frame.

- 53. Broyles discloses a method of expanding wafers, including applying a lateral force to said adhesive sheet (See Figures 6-7). It is known in the art that stretching/tensioning a web may be performed by decreasing the amount of material over a given length, increasing the length of the web, or a combination of both to yield the predictable result of an expanded web. The substitution of one tensioning method (the lateral force expansion taught by Broyles) for another (the upward force expansion disclosed in Sekiya) would achieve the predictable result of forming spaces between individual chips.
- 54. Tsujimoto et al. discloses a die bonding method, including cutting said adhesive sheet near an outer periphery of said different frame (3; See Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the excess sheet material cutting step taught by Tsujimoto et al. with the method of Sekiya. The motivation would have been to reduce the bulk of the resulting article, allowing more efficient transport and storage.
- 55. As to claim 19, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose the lateral force applied to said adhesive sheet is applied by inflating an airbag.
- 56. Broyles discloses a method of expanding wafers, including the lateral force applied to said adhesive sheet is applied by inflating an airbag (See Figures 6-7). It is known in the art that stretching/tensioning a web may be performed by decreasing the

Art Unit: 1791

amount of material over a given length, increasing the length of the web, or a combination of both to yield the predictable result of an expanded web. The substitution of one tensioning method (the lateral force expansion taught by Broyles) for another (the upward force expansion disclosed in Sekiya) would achieve the predictable result of forming spaces between individual chips.

- 57. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,344,402 to Sekiya in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,383,606 to Broyles and U.S. Patent No. 6,176,966 to Tsujimoto et al. as applied to claims 18-19 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,766,638 to Moore ('638).
- 58. As to claim 20, Sekiya discloses a method of dicing a workpiece (column 3, line 55-column 4, line 43). However, Sekiya does not disclose the different ring is the same size as the frame.
- 59. Moore ('638) discloses it is known in the art to use ring of the same size as clamping members (5/11; See Figure 2). It is inherent the different ring (column 4, lines 2-10) would be of the same type and dimensions as the first ring (5/11), in order to tightly fit around the button (18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the same sized rings taught by Moore ('638) with the method of Sekiya. The motivation would have been to prevent mechanical shock during transport (column 4, lines 2-10).

Art Unit: 1791

# Response to Arguments

60. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

61. Applicant's arguments are drawn to the current claim amendment, incorporating language which overcomes the previous obviousness rejection. The amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

62. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KIMBERLY K. MCCLELLAND whose telephone number is (571)272-2372. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m.-5 p.m. Mon-Thr.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Philip C. Tucker can be reached on (571)272-1095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kimberly K McClelland/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791

KKM

/Philip C Tucker/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791